

2/9/2025 Day 15. Matrix Representation of States and Operators

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization:

Suppose we are given a set of N L.I. vectors $\{|u_i\rangle\}_{i=1,N}$ which are not necessarily orthogonal but, say, normalized

$$\text{i.e. } \langle u_i | u_i \rangle = 1 \quad \forall i \\ \langle u_i | u_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$$

Then we can come up with a set of O/N vectors from these. One procedure to do so is outlined below.

$$\text{Let } |v_1\rangle = |u_1\rangle \quad - \textcircled{1}$$

$$\text{define } |w_2\rangle \equiv |u_2\rangle - |v_1\rangle \langle v_1 | u_2 \rangle \\ - \textcircled{2}$$

Note that

$$\langle v_1 | w_2 \rangle = \langle v_1 | u_2 \rangle - \langle v_1 | v_1 \rangle \cdot \langle v_1 | u_2 \rangle \\ (\because \langle v_1 | v_1 \rangle = 1) = 0 \quad - \textcircled{3}$$

$\therefore |w_2\rangle$ is orthogonal to $|v_1\rangle$
we can normalize it to define
the next vector in the list as

$$|v_2\rangle \equiv \frac{|w_2\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle w_2 | w_2 \rangle}} \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

Now, let $|w_3\rangle \equiv |u_3\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^2 |v_j\rangle \langle v_j | u_3 \rangle$
--- (5)

It's easily seen that

$$\langle v_1 | w_3 \rangle = \langle v_2 | w_3 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

Normalizing $|w_3\rangle$ we get the 3rd
vector in our O/N list

$$|v_3\rangle \equiv \frac{|w_3\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle w_3 | w_3 \rangle}} \quad \text{--- (7)}$$

In general, given (1), we can
summarize the procedure as
follows:

$$0. \quad |v_1\rangle \equiv |u_1\rangle$$

$$1. \quad |w_{i+1}\rangle \equiv |u_{i+1}\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^i |v_j\rangle \langle v_j | u_{i+1}\rangle$$

$$2. \quad |v_{i+1}\rangle \equiv |w_{i+1}\rangle / \sqrt{\langle w_{i+1} | w_{i+1} \rangle}$$

$$i=1, N-1$$

⑧

This procedure generates the O/N set $\{|v_i\rangle\}_{i=1,N}$ which spans the $\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose we are given a complete set of (L.I.) states parameterised by K real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_K \dots$
 $\rightarrow \{|\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_K\rangle\}$

$\therefore$ any state in the $\mathcal{H}$ can be written in terms of these.

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K} |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K\rangle \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K | \Phi \rangle$$

~ ⑨

The coefficients $\{\langle \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k | \Psi \rangle\}$ are then said to "represent" $|\Psi\rangle$.

The set $\{\langle \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k | \}$ is said to form a "representation" on $\mathcal{H}$.

We can generate another representation from these as

$$\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k | \rightarrow \lambda_1 \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k | \quad - (10)$$

because these are also L.I.

(10) is a linear map and can be captured through the action of a linear operator $\hat{\lambda}_1$:

$$\langle \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k | \hat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 \langle \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k | \quad - (11)$$

This is just an eigenvalue equation for the operator $\hat{\lambda}_1$, which is Hermitian owing to the reality of λ_1 .

The same can be shown with all the real parameters, thereby realising the mutually compatible Hermitian operators $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2 \dots \hat{\lambda}_k\}$.

These are all observables since, by assumption, they lead to a complete set of states.

Hence, a representation parametrised by real numbers is actually a set of simultaneous eigenbras of a set of mutually compatible observables.

Now, since we have only used the eigenvalues to parametrise the state, there are 2 possibilities

1. Each set $(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k)$ corresponds to a unique eigenbra in the complete set. Then, $\{|\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k\rangle\}$ are orthogonal.

In this case, $\{\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_k\}$ are said to form a complete set of compatible observables.

2. Some combinations of $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$ do not lead to a unique state in the representation. For instance, let us say that including one more real parameter, μ_1 , allows us to distinguish these (degenerate) states i.e. $\{ \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu_1 | \}$ are unique.

Then, as before, we can define another representation where these states are replaced by

$$\{ \mu_1, \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu_1 | \}$$

Since it is a linear map we have that $\exists$ an observable $\hat{\mu}_1$ s.t.

$$\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k \mu_1 | \hat{\mu}_1 = \mu_1 \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k \mu_1 |$$

- (12)

$\Rightarrow$ we found another compatible observable, $\hat{\mu}_1$, to complete the set.

The set $\{ \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k \mu_1 | \}$ that this results is an orthogonal and complete set. Hence, it forms an orthogonal representation.

We note here, any L.I. set of N vectors ($N \rightarrow$ dimension of $\mathcal{H}$) can form a representation. But an orthogonal representation is quite useful to have.

In summary:

1. The basic bras (kets) of an orthogonal representation are simultaneous eigenstates of a complete set of compatible observables.
2. Any set of commuting observables can be made complete by adding certain observables to it.
3. O/N representations are conveniently labeled by the eigenvalues of the compatible observables.
4. Given such a set $\{ \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k | \}$, any state $|\Psi\rangle$ is completely represented by the numbers $\{ \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_k | \Psi \rangle \}$.

Representation of operators.

As a shorthand let us take the base bras to be $\{ \langle \lambda | \}$, $\lambda \equiv [\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \lambda^3, \lambda^4]$

Consider an operator $\hat{\alpha}$:

$$|v\rangle = \hat{\alpha} |u\rangle \quad - (13)$$

Using the basis set we get

$$\langle \lambda_i | v \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \lambda_i | \hat{\alpha} | \lambda_j \rangle \langle \lambda_j | u \rangle \quad - (4)$$

$$\left(\text{N.B. } \sum_{i=1}^N \leftrightarrow \sum_{\lambda_i^1} \sum_{\lambda_i^2} \dots \sum_{\lambda_i^k} \right)$$

$$\text{i.e. } V_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij} U_j \quad - (5)$$

where the notation is self-explanatory

(5) resembles a matrix equation.

Let us define a column matrix $\vec{v} =$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \left\{ \vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \lambda_1 | v \rangle \\ \langle \lambda_2 | v \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle \lambda_N | v \rangle \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} N \\ \text{entries} \\ \text{where} \\ N \text{ is} \\ \text{the} \\ \text{dimension} \\ \text{of } \mathcal{H} \end{array} \right\}$$

and a square $^{(N \times N)}$ matrix $\hat{\alpha}$ s.t.

$$(\hat{\alpha})_{ij} = \langle \lambda_i | \hat{\alpha} | \lambda_j \rangle$$

— $\textcircled{2}$

where i, j runs over all possible values the combination of λ 's can take.

Then, (5) can be conveniently written as: $\boxed{\vec{V} = \hat{A} \vec{U}} \quad \text{--- (8)}$

Thus, operators are represented by matrices using an (O/N) representation.

States are represented as column (or row if "bra") vectors with entries $V_i \rightarrow i^{\text{th}}$ component of V

$$V_i = \langle \lambda_i^1 \lambda_i^2 \dots \lambda_i^K | V \rangle \quad \text{--- (9)}$$

Hence, we recover the matrix representation of QM.

Hermitian Matrices: If $\hat{A}$ is Hermitian

operator then it is straightforward to show that $\hat{A}$ is a Hermitian matrix satisfying

$$\hat{A}^\dagger = \hat{A} \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}^\dagger \equiv (\hat{\alpha}^T)^*$ is called the (Hermit.) adjoint of the matrix or the conjugate-transpose.

$$(\hat{\alpha})^T: (\hat{\alpha}^T)_{ij} = \alpha_{ji} \quad - (11)$$

$$(\hat{\alpha})^\dagger: (\hat{\alpha}^\dagger)_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}^* \quad - (12)$$

We can also show that if $\hat{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \hat{\alpha}'$ then $\hat{\alpha}^\dagger \leftrightarrow \hat{\alpha}'^\dagger$.

Identity / Unit Operator:

$$\hat{1} \leftrightarrow \hat{I}: (\hat{I})_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \quad - (13)$$